

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,334	09/19/2001	Hiroshi Kawai	011109	9587
23850 75	590 08/27/2003			
	G,WESTERMAN &	EXAMINER		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			DEXTER, CLARK F	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Á

Application No. 09/955,334

Applicant(s)

Kaw

Office Action Summary

Examiner
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set of extended period for reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the cause of the cause o	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 20	003			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	1			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/955,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendments filed February 20, 2003 and June 4, 2003 have been entered. It is noted that in view of the amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became effective for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 5-6, the recitation is vague as to what is being set forth, and it seems that --for-- or --and-- should be inserted before "driving the punch tools" or the like; in line 8, "the punch processing" lacks antecedent basis and further is vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth, and it seems that it should read --a punching process-- or the like.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,334

Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 2, lines 2-3 are vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth and appear to be inaccurate; specifically, it is not clear as to what the recitation "inside said lower turret" refers and it appears to be referring to the chute and thus appears to be inaccurate, and further it is not clear as to what is intended by the recitation "supports said lower turret", and it seems that the claim should simply read --wherein a chute is connected to the work sheet outlet of said lower turret-- or the like.

In claim 4, line 6, "a plurality of punch tools" is vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth, particularly in view of the recitation of "a plurality of punch tools" in line 3 and in view of the fact that the plurality of punch tools are supported by the upper die, not the lower die, and it seems that "a plurality of punch tools" should be changed to --the plurality of die tools-- or the like; in line 7, the recitation is vague as to what is being set forth, and it seems that --for-- or --and-- should be inserted before "driving the punch tools" or the like; in line 10, "the punch processing" lacks antecedent basis and further is vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth, and it seems that it should read --a punching process-- or the like.

In claim 5, lines 1-2 are vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth at least for the reasons described above for claim 2.

Art Unit: 3724

Prior Art

- 3. Further consideration of the claimed invention with respect to the prior art will be given upon clarification of the claimed invention.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers Technology Center 3700 are: after-final responses - (703)872-9303; other formal/official papers - (703)872-9302. The fax number for informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

August 25, 2003